

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 7112 of 2018

1. Smt Jyoti Bala W/o Pramod Kumar, Aged About 40 Years Working As Lecturer (Nagriya Nikay) And Posted At Govt. Boys Higher Secondary School, Patan, Block Patan, District- Durg Chhattisgarh.
2. Ku. Radhika, D/o Aganu, Aged About 30 Years Working As Lecturer (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. Higher Secondary School, Murmunda, Block- Dongargarh, District- Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh.
3. Smt. Preeti Patel, W/o Jagdish Patel, Aged About 38 Years Working As Teacher (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. Middle School, Harrathema, Block- Balod, District- Balod Chhattisgarh.
4. Smt. Manjula Dewangan, W/o Kishore Kumar Dewangan, Aged About 32 Years Working As Teacher (Nagriya Nikay) And Posted At Govt. Middle School Andhiyari path, Block- Akaltara, District- Janjgir-Champa Chhattisgarh.
5. Navin Kumar Vishwakarma, S/o Late Ramnarayan Vishwakarma, Aged About 37 Years Working As Lecturer (Nagriya Nikay) And Posted At Govt. Boys Higher Secondary School, Akaltara, Block- Akaltara, District- Janjgir-Champa Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through- The Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Municipal Officer, Nagar Panchayat, Patan, District- Durg Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Rajnandgaon, District- Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh.
5. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Balod, District- Balod Chhattisgarh.
6. Chief Municipal Officer, Municipal Council, Akaltara, District- Janjgir-Champa Chhattisgarh.
7. Chief Municipal Officer, Nagar Panchayat, Baloda, District- Janjgir-Champa Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. R.N. Pusty, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

01/11/2018

1. The counsel for the petitioners submits that the issue involved in the case has already been adjudicated upon by this Court in a bunch of writ petitions decided on 27.10.2018, the lead case of which is WPS No. 6147/2018 and other writ petitions.
2. Counsel for the State submits that the petitioner No.4 has not completed the requisite length of service.
3. Given the facts, the claim so far as the petitioner No.4 is concerned, the claim as of now would stand dismissed with liberty to claim after the petitioner No.4 complete the requisite length of service. So far as the petitioners No. 1, 2, 3, & 5 are concerned, their case stands squarely covered by the directions and the observations made by this Court in the aforesaid judgment passed in WPS No. 6147/2018, decided on 27.10.2018.
4. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved