

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 7089 of 2018**

1. Dasuram Netam S/o Shri Kattiram Netam, Aged About 31 Years Working As Teacher (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. Higher Secondary School Bakulwahi, Narayanpur, District Narayanpur Chhattisgarh
2. Mangalu Ram Nureti S/o Shri Manaku Ram Nureti, Aged About 31 Years Working As Teacher (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. Uchch. Primary School Brehbeda, Narayanpur, District Narayanpur Chhattisgarh
3. Demendra Kumar Sahu S/o Shri Gajadhar Prasad Sahu, Aged About 45 Years Working As Teacher (L.B.) And Posted At Govt. Navin Middle School Chichalgondi, Block Gunderdehi, District Balod Chhattisgarh
4. Mukesh Kumar Chandrakar S/o Shri Ratanlal Chandrakar, Aged About 46 Years Working As Teacher (L.B.) And Posted At Govt. Middle School Iraguda, Block Gunderdehi, District Balod Chhattisgarh
5. Devendra Dewangan S/o Shri Rupchand Dewangan, Aged About 35 Years, Working As Teacher (L.B.) And Posted At Govt. Middle School Parsada (Dang), Block Gunderdehi, District Balod Chhattisgarh
6. Ishwari Lal Sahu S/o Shri Rekhram Sahu, Aged About 48 Years Working As Lecturer E. (L.B.) And Posted At Govt. Higher Secondary School Piparchhedi, Block Balod, District Balod Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Panchayat Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Balod, District Balod Chhattisgarh

3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Narayanpur, District Narayanpur Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate
For State	:	Ms. Sunita Jain, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order On Board

27.10.2018

Heard.

2. Learned counsel for the parties would submit that the issue raised in this petition is squarely covered by order dated 28.11.2017 passed by this Court in WPS No.2530 of 2017 (**Mukesh Kumar Patel and another Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and another**) and batch of petitions wherein the circular dated 23.04.2016 has been quashed, petitions allowed and it has been declared that the petitioners therein shall be entitled to obtain the benefit of revised pay-scale on completion of 8 years service by including the services rendered by them on a lower post or on the same post.

3. In view of the aforesaid submission and the impugned order and action is based on circular dated 23.04.2016 which has been quashed in the case referred to herein above, the petition also deserves to be allowed with a direction that the petitioners shall be entitled to obtain the benefit of revised pay-scale on completion of 8 years service including the services rendered by them on a lower post or on the same post.

4. No order as to costs.

**Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge**