

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 6937 of 2018**

1. Pramod Kuamar Rangari S/o Shri Banwali, Aged About 33 Years, Working As Teacher (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. Middle School Bhanwarmara, Block Doundilohara, District- Balod, Chhattisgarh
2. Tukendra Kumar S/o Shri Banshilal, Aged About 45 Years, Working As Teacher (E. L. B.) And Posted At Govt. Middle School Ruputola, Block Doundilohara, District- Balod, Chhattisgarh
3. Vinay Kumar Sahu S/o Shri Udhoram Sahu, Aged About 42 Years, Working As Teacher (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. Middle School Lamti, Block Doundilohara, District Balod, Chhattisgarh
4. Smt. Kiran Khare W/o Shri Gopanand Khare, Aged About 31 Years, Working As Lecturer (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. High School Gudum, Block Doundi, District- Balod, Chhattisgarh
5. Smt. Champi Thakur W/o Shri Devendra Kumar Thakur, Aged About 34 Years Working As Lecturer (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. High School Pathratola, Block Doundi, District- Balod, Chhattisgarh
6. Venkeswar Nishad S/o Shri Neelkanth Nishad, Aged About 33 Years, Working As Teacher (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. Middle School Matri, Block Doundilohara, District- Balod, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Panchayat, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya New Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Balod, District- Balod, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri Vinod Kumar Sharma, Advocate
For State	:	Shri D. Wankhede, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order On Board

23.10.2018

Learned counsel for the parties would submit that the issue raised in this petition is squarely covered by order dated 28.11.2017 passed by this Court in WPS No.2530 of 2017 (**Mukesh Kumar Patel and another Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and another**) and batch of petitions wherein the circular dated 23.04.2016 has been quashed, petitions allowed and it has been declared that the petitioners therein shall be entitled to obtain the benefit of revised pay-scale on completion of 8 years service by including the services rendered by them on a lower post or on the same post.

2. In view of the aforesaid submission and the impugned order and action is based on circular dated 23.04.2016 which has been quashed in the case referred to herein above, the petition also deserves to be allowed with a direction that the petitioners shall be entitled to obtain the benefit of revised pay-scale on completion of 8 years service including the services rendered by them on a lower post or on the same post.

3. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge