

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPURWPS No. 7141 of 2018

Neelam Sahu W/o Late Chaturbhuji Sahu, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Post And Village- Narayanpur, Police Station Nandghat, District Bemetara Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Collector, District Bemetara, Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Bemetara, District Bemetara, Chhattisgarh.
4. District Education Officer, District Bemetara, Chhattisgarh.
5. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Nawagarh, District Bemetara, Chhattisgarh.
6. Block Development Education Officer, Janpad Panchayat Nawagarh, District Bemetara, Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For petitioner	:	Shri Rahul Sharma, Advocate.
For State	:	Shri Chandresh Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

29/10/2018

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the instant Writ Petition is the non-consideration of the claim of the petitioner for grant of compassionate appointment.
2. The husband of the petitioner working as a Shiksha Karmi – Gr-II had died in harness on 27/04/2013.

3. The petitioner immediately moved an application for compassionate appointment.
4. Till date, though more than 5 years have passed, no decision has been taken by the concerned authorities.
5. Given the said nature of dispute, this Court is of the opinion that, ends of justice would meet if a direction is given to the respondent No.3 to decide the claim of the petitioner for grant of compassionate appointment and an appropriate decision be taken at the earliest preferably within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
6. Needless to mention that, the authorities concerned would also take into consideration that in case if the petitioner does not have the requisite qualification for appointment as a Shiksha Karmi then her claim may be considered against any class-IV post lying vacant under the respondent No.3.
7. With the aforesaid observations, the Writ Petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE