

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 2919 of 2018

- Mahesh Kumar Agrawal S/o Shri Murarilal Agrawal Aged About 48 Years R/o Badhaipara Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgrah

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Home, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhavan, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgrah
2. District Magistrate, Office Of The Collector, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

WPC No. 2925 of 2018

- Smt Laxmi Soni W/o Hemlal Soni Aged About 39 Years R/o Kabeer Nagar Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Home, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhavan, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. District Magistrate Office Of The Collector, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

WPC No. 2967 of 2018

- Rajesh Kumar S/o Amarjeet, Aged About 46 Years R/o Telibandha Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Home, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. District Magistrate, Office Of The Collector, Raipur Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

For Petitioners
For Respondent-State

Shri Navin Shukla, Advocate
Shri Shashank Thakur, GA

Hon'ble Justice Mr. Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board

23/10/2018

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners' application for renewal of explosive license is not even received for consideration by respondent No.2 i.e. the District Magistrate, Raipur, even though the petitioners had already deposited the requisite charges including the license fee. She would refer to the orders passed by this Court in **WPC No.2422 of 2017 (Fataka Vyapari Sangh Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and another)** decided on 5.9.2017 as well as **WPC No.706 of 2016 (Manish Kumar Sahu and others Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others)** and other connected petitions, decided on 13.5.2016, to argue that the respondents are obligated in law to consider petitioners' application for renewal of explosive license.
2. Considering the entire facts situation of the case, particularly in view of the orders passed by this Court in the above writ petitions, the present writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to receive the petitioners' application for renewal of explosive license as and when it is presented and thereafter, decide the same by a reasoned order expeditiously, preferably within a period of one month from the date of receipt of application for renewal of license.

Sd/-
(Prashant Kumar Mishra)
Judge