

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 6870 of 2018**

1. Mahesh Kumar Sharma S/o Late Surendra Kumar Sharma Aged About 59 Years Working As- Sub Engineer , O/o Mahanadi Head Water Management, Sub Division No.01, Rudri, District- Dhamtari Chhattisgarh, R/o Shri Krishna Nagar, Rudri, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh.
2. Ravishankar Pandey S/o Late Ramnarayan Pandey Aged About 56 Years Working As- Sub Engineer, O/o TDPP, Water Resources Division, Jagdalpur, District Baster Chhattisgarh, R/o M I G- D/12, C.G. Housing Board Colony, Lal Bag, Jagdalpur, District Baster Chhattisgarh.
3. Arvind Sharma S/o Shri Bacha Ram Sharma Aged About 55 Years Working As- Sub Engineer, O/o Hasdeo Baraj, Water Management, Rampur, Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh, R/o 160, C S E B Colony, Korba East, District- Korba Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of Water Resources, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. The Engineer In Chief Water Resources Department, Sihawa Bhawan, Civil Lines, Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Shri Ravindra Kumar Sharma Assistant Engineer, Water Management , Sub Division No.- 03, Raipur Chhattisgarh.

----Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. R.K. Kesharwani, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Dheeraj Wankhede, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****12/10/2018**

1. The grievance raised by the petitioners in the instant case is for correction of date of appointment in the service record on the post of Sub-Engineer.
2. The claim of the petitioners is based upon some decisions rendered by the erstwhile State of Madhya Pradesh and subsequently by the State of Chhattisgarh in the case of one similarly placed person namely Ravindra Kumar Sharma.

3. According to the petitioners they have already made a detailed representation Annexure P/5 to the respondent No.2 in this regard.
4. Given the facts that the petitioners have already made a representation, reserving the right of the petitioners to prefer another representation, if they so choose to the respondent No.2, the respondent No.2 in turn is directed to take a decision on the said representation at the earliest preferably within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of the order passed by this Court.
5. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioner to appraise the respondent No.2 so far as the order passed by this Court is concerned.
6. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved