

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPURWPS No. 6995 of 2018

Prashant Kumar Sarkar S/o Late R. P. Sarkar, Aged About 53 Years, Presently Working As Sub Engineer, In The Office Of Executive Engineer P.W.D., Bilaspur, Division-2, R/o Shivam Orchid, Hariamrit Marriage Hall, Police Station-Torwa, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Public Work Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Engineer In Chief, Public Works Department, Sirpur Bhawan, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Engineer Public Works Department, Bilaspur, Police Station Civil Line Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
4. Executive Engineer, Public Works Department (B/r), Division No.2 Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For petitioner	:	Shri Kishore Narayan, Advocate.
For State	:	Shri Dheeraj Wankhede, GA.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

25/10/2018

1. Heard.
2. With the consent of the parties, the matter is taken up for hearing finally.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was initially appointed as Sub Engineer on ad hoc basis on 13.04.1988 against a clear vacant post. The services of the petitioner were continued with artificial break of two or three days in service and worked continuously

till the date of his regularization. The petitioner was given the benefits of annual increments.

4. A similarly situated employees approached the State Administrative Tribunal (for short "SAT") in Original Application No. 1979 of 1991 (Satish Kumar Mandloi Vs State of M.P. and another) praying for similar relief as sought for in this petition. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that this case may also be disposed off in the same terms and conditions.

5. Shri Dheeraj Wankhede, learned counsel for the State in the light of the order of the SAT as aforestated, submits that after verifying the facts of the case, petitioner's case shall be considered.

6. In view of the foregoing and categorical statement made by the learned counsel appearing for the State, this petition is allowed in the same terms i.e. if the Committee finds the petitioner suitable for the post in all respect, he shall be regularized on the post held by him and shall also pay him salary on regular scale. He shall be entitled to salary for the dates on break in service. His seniority shall be counted from the date of his initial appointment.

7. No costs.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE