

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 6759 of 2018**

1. Mithila Sahu W/o Shri Haldhar Prasad Sahu, Aged About 37 Years
R/o Village Khaira, Adarsh Mohalla, Post Jairamnagar, District
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
2. Purnima Goswami W/o Shri Surajgbhan Goswami, Aged About 44
Years R/o Behind Gupta Bungalow, Kumharpara, Jarhabhata, District
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
3. Sangeeta Gond W/o Bajrang Prasad Jangde, Aged About 41 Years
R/o Lodhipara, Old Sarkankda, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of
Panchayat, Capital Complex, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, Civil
And Revenue District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Bilaspur, Civil And Revenue
District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri Bhaskar Payashi, Advocate
For State	:	Shri S. P. Kale, Dy. Advocate General

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****10.10.2018**

1. The Petitioners are aggrieved by the action of the Respondents,
whereby the increment granted to the Petitioners is being withdrawn
on the ground that they have not passed B.Ed/D. Ed examination.
2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners submits that the issue with

regard to withdrawal/non-grant of increment on the ground of having not passed B.Ed/D.Ed examination was examined by this Court in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle v. State of Chhattisgarh (WPS No.4271 of 2017 and batch of petitions vide order dated 03.11.2017)** and various directions have been issued for affording proper opportunity of hearing and then decide the matter.

3. Learned Counsel for the State submits that the Petitioners' claim would be examined after affording opportunity of hearing in terms of order dated 03.11.2017 passed in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra).
4. Considering the aforesaid submission, this petition is finally disposed of with a direction to the Respondents for examination of Petitioners' claim in terms of order passed by this Court in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra) and to take appropriate decision thereon.
5. The writ petition stands accordingly disposed of.

Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge