

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 6628 of 2018**

1. Smt. Laxmi Manikpuri W/o Trilochan Manikpuri, Aged About 40 Years Working As Lecturer (L. B.) At Govt. Higher Secondary School Sasaha, Block Pallari, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
2. Meghnath Sahu S/o Harish Chandra Sahu, Aged About 33 Years, Working As Assistant Teacher (Panchayat), At Govt. Primary School Pandariya, Block Baloda Bazar, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
3. Sunil Kumar Tamboli S/o Shatruhan Lal Tamboli, Aged About 34 Years Working As Assistant Teacher (Panchayat) At Govt. Primary School Pandariya, Block Baloda Bazar, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
4. Mohit Kumar Gayakwad S/o Karan Lal Gayakwad Aged About 30 Years, Working As Teacher (Panchayat) At Govt. Middle School Mohra, Block Simga, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Baloda Bazar, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri Govind Dewangan, Advocate
For State	:	Shri Majid Ali, Dy. Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board**

05.10.2018

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the parties would submit that the issue raised in this petition is squarely covered by order dated 28.11.2017 passed by this Court in WPS No.2530 of 2017 (**Mukesh Kumar Patel and another Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and another**) and batch of petitions wherein the circular dated 23.04.2016 has been quashed, petitions allowed and it has been declared that the petitioners therein shall be entitled to obtain the benefit of revised pay-scale on completion of 8 years service by including the services rendered by them on a lower post or on the same post.
2. In view of the aforesaid submission and the impugned order and action is based on circular dated 23.04.2016 which has been quashed in the case referred to herein above, the petition also deserves to be allowed with the direction that the petitioners shall be entitled to obtain the benefit of revised pay-scale on completion of 8 years service including the services rendered by them on a lower post or on the same post.
3. No order as to costs.

**Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge**