

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 6853 of 2018**

1. Dilendra Kumar Sahu S/o Shri Kunj Lal Sahu, Aged About 38 Years Working As Lecturer (Panchayat) At Government Higher Secondary School Devari, Block Tilda, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Ramanand Dhruw, S/o Shri Tangoo Ram Dhruw, Aged About 32 Years, Working As Lecturer (L.B.) At Government Higher Secondary School Chhatera Tahsil Aarang, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Smt. Pallavi Awadhia W/o Shri Nand Kishor Awadhia, Aged About 41 Years Working As Lecturer (L.B.) At Government Higher Secondary School Tekari, Takari, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Smt. Shampa Yadav, W/o Shri Yashwant Yadav, Aged About 45 Years Working As Lecturer (L.B.) At Government High School Kumhari, Urla Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
5. Dwarika Prasad Diwan S/o Shri Bati Ram Diwan, Aged About 35 Years Working As Lecturer (Panchayat) At Government Higher Secondary School Gullu Tahsil Arang, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
6. Baldev Prasad Nayak S/o Shri Khelwan Prasad Nayak, Aged About 49 Years, Presently Working As Lecturer (L.B.) At Govt. High School, Adsena, Tahsil Tilda, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan Mantralaya, Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Zila Panchayat Raipur, Through Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri K. K. Dewangan, Advocate
For State	:	Ms. Sunita Jain, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order On Board

12.10.2018

Ignoring the default pointed out by the Registry, with the consent of the parties, the matter is finally disposed of.

2. Learned counsel for the parties would submit that the issue raised in this petition is squarely covered by order dated 28.11.2017 passed by this Court in WPS No.2530 of 2017 (**Mukesh Kumar Patel and another Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and another**) and batch of petitions wherein the circular dated 23.04.2016 has been quashed, petitions allowed and it has been declared that the petitioners therein shall be entitled to obtain the benefit of revised pay-scale on completion of 8 years service by including the services rendered by them on a lower post or on the same post.

3. In view of the aforesaid submission and the impugned order and action is based on circular dated 23.04.2016 which has been quashed in the case referred to herein above, the petition also deserves to be allowed with a direction that the petitioners shall be entitled to obtain the benefit of revised pay-scale on completion of 8 years service including the services rendered by them on a lower post or on the same post.

4. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge