

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WRIT PETITION (S) NO.6430 OF 2018**

Smt. Shalini Rahi W/o Late Shri Laxmi Narayan Rahi Aged About 52 Years R/o Transport Nagar, Behind Masjid Chimni Bhatta, P.S. City Kotwali, Tehsil Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh.

...Petitioner(s)**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, (Special Secretary), Social Welfare Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Revenue And Civil District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Director, Social Welfare, Directorate Raipur, Revenue And Civil District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Collector, Raigarh, Revenue And Civil District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
4. Deputy Director, Social Welfare Branch Raigarh, Revenue And Civil District Raigarh Chhattisgarh., District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh
5. Smt. Annapurna Rahi W/o Late Shri Laxmi Narayan Rahi R/o In Front Of Company Garden, Masjid Road, Dabripara, Bilaspur, P.S. Civil Lines, Tehsil And District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner	:	Shri Sarfaraz Khan, Advocate.
For Respondent-State	:	Shri Adhiraj Surana, Dy. Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****28.09.2018**

1. The grievance of the petitioner is non releasing of death-cum-retiral dues payable to the petitioner on death of her Husband who died on 06.07.2018 after retirement.
2. It is a case where the Husband of the petitioner after his retirement moved relevant papers for releasing retiral dues, however, till the same is processed and decided, the employee died on 06.07.2018. According to the petitioner, the name of the petitioner also finds place in the service records as nominee. The petitioner further submits that till date the claim

of the petitioner has not been rejected or refused on the part of the respondents holding that the petitioner is not entitled for the same.

3. Given the aforesaid facts, let the respondent No.2 take a decision so far as the payment of death-cum-retiral dues including pensionary benefits, if any, to the petitioner is concerned at the earliest in accordance with law preferably within an outer limit of 90 days.
4. Needless to mention that this court has not expressed any opinion so far as entitlement of the petitioner is concerned. The authorities concerned would decide the same in accordance with provisions of law.
5. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy
Judge)