

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**W.P.S. NO. 6504 OF 2018**

1. Rukdhan Lal Churendra S/o Shri Preetam Churendra Aged About 39 Years Working As Assistant Teacher (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. Primary School Khajari, Block And District- Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.
2. Sohan Lal Komre S/o Shri Deuram Komre Aged About 37 Years Working As Assistant Teacher (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. Primary School Dhadutola, Block Antagarh Chowki, District- Rajnandgaon (CG)
3. Bhuneshwar Lal Korram S/o Shri Shrawan Ram Korram Aged About 34 Years Working As Assistant Teacher (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. Primary School Botepar, Block And District- Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.
4. Khileshwar Kumar Sinha S/o Shri Kheduram Sinha Aged About 33 Years Working As Assistant Teacher (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. Primary School Jangalesar, Block And District- Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.
5. Jagdish Verma S/o Shri Rudram Verma Aged About 32 Years Working As Assistant Teacher (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. Primary School Baherabhantha, Block And District- Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.
6. Ugesh Kumar Nishad S/o Shri Niranjana Lal Nishad Aged About 36 Years Working As Assistant Teacher (Panchayat) And Posted At Govt. Primary School Jarhi, Block And District- Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.

... Petitioners**versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Department of Panchayat, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Raipur (CG)
2. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon (CG)
3. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Antagarh, District Rajnandgaon (CG)

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. G.P. Mathur, Advocate.
For Respondents	:	Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****01/10/2018**

1. Learned counsels appearing for the parties submit that the issue raised in this petition is squarely covered by the order dated 28.11.2017 passed by this Court in WPS No.2530 of 2017 (Mukesh Kumar Patel and another Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and another) and batch of petitions, wherein allowing the petitions, the circular dated 23.4.2016 has been quashed and it has been declared that the petitioners therein shall be entitled to obtain the benefit of revised pay-scale on completion of 8 years service by including the services rendered by them on a lower post or on the same post.

2. In view of the aforesaid submission, since the impugned order or action is based on circular dated 23.4.2016 which stands quashed in the case referred above, the present writ petition also deserves to be allowed with the direction that the petitioner shall also be entitled to obtain the benefit of revised pay-scale on completion of 8 years service including the services rendered by them on a lower post or on the same post.

3. The writ petition thus stands finally disposed of.

**Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge**

/sharad/