

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 6411 of 2018**

Sunil Das S/o Shri Puran Das Aged About 33 Years R/o Village - Dharampur, Gevra Basti, Tehsil - Katghora, District Korba Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. South Eastern Coalfields Limited Through Chairman Cum Managing Director, South Eastern Coalfields, Limited, Seepat Road, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh. 495006
2. Chief General Manager South Eastern Coalfields Limited, Kusmunda Area, District Korba Chhattisgarh.
3. Collector Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh.
4. Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) Katghora, District Korba Chhattisgarh.
5. Tehsildar, Dipka, Tehsil Katghora, District Korba Chhattisgarh

----Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Chandresh Shrivastava, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. R.K. Gupta, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****28/09/2018**

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is the non-consideration of the claim of the petitioner for grant of employment as a land oustee.
2. Mr. R.K. Gupta, the Panel Lawyer for the respondents No. 1 & 2 present in the Court is also directed to accept notice on behalf of the respondents No. 1 & 2 as well.
3. Considering the limited grievance, which the petitioner has raised, this Court does not intend to keep the petition pending rather ends of justice would meet if the writ petition is disposed off with a direction to the respondent No.2 to consider the claim of the petitioner and scrutinize the same and find out whether the

petitioner would fall within the ambit of a land oustee or not, and if so, whether the petitioner would be entitled for grant of employment under the R & R policy, which was applicable then.

4. Let the petitioner, in addition to any representation if any made earlier, make a fresh representation to the respondent No.2 in this regard within a period of 3 weeks from today and thereafter the authorities concerned, on due scrutiny of the same, may take a final decision within a further period of 4 months from the date of receipt of representation of the petitioner.
5. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition accordingly stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved