

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WRIT PETITION (S) NO.6272 OF 2018**

1. Ghanshyam Prasad Sahu S/o Shri Ramcharan Sahu Aged About 47 Years Occupation - Shikshak / Varg 02 Prathamik Shala Bansa, R/o Gram Surhoki, Tehsil And Thana - Berla, District Bemetara Chhattisgarh.
2. Nand Kishor Chedeiya S/o Shri Darbari Chedeiya Aged About 46 Years Occupation Sikshak / Varg02 Prathamik Shala Berlodikala, R/o Gram Khairra, Tehsil And Thana Berla District Bemetara Chhattisgarh
3. Smt. Laxmidevi Chedeiya W/o Shri Nand Kishore Chedeiya Aged About 43 Years Occupation, Sikshak/ Verg 02 Prathamik Shala Berlodikala, R/o Gram Kharra, Tehsil And Thana Berla, District Bemetara Chhattisgarh.

...Petitioner(s)**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, School Siksha Vibhag, Mantralaya Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Jila Siksha Abhikari, Karyalay Jila Siksha Abhikari Bemetara District Bemetara Chhattisgarh., District : Bemetara, Chhattisgarh
3. Vikashkhand Siksha Adhikari Berla, District Bemetara Chhattisgarh., District : Bemetara, Chhattisgarh
4. Mukhaya Karyapalan Adhikari, Janpad Panchayat Berla, District Bemetara Chhattisgarh., District : Bemetara, Chhattisgarh
5. Collector Bemetara, District Bemetara Chhattisgarh., District : Bemetara, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioners	:	Shri Abdul Wahab Khan, Advocate.
For Respondent-State	:	Shri DK Wankhede, Govt. Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****25.09.2018**

1. The grievance of the petitioners in the instant Writ Petition is that, though they were entitled for the benefit of Kramonnati as well as other benefits on having put requisite length of service with the respondents, the same has not been given to the petitioners.
2. Counsel for the petitioners submits that, initially, it was granted to the petitioners, but subsequently, it was withdrawn without any reasons assigned. He further submits that, there are other benefits also which had not been granted to the petitioners. He further submits that, the petitioners have already made a representation to the respondent No.4 in this regard which is pending consideration and thus he seeks for direction to the respondent No.4 to decide the same at the earliest.

3. The said prayer is not opposed by the State counsel.
4. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed off with a direction to the respondent No.4 to consider and decide the representation which the petitioners have filed at the earliest preferably within a period of 60 days from today. In addition, the petitioners would also be entitled for making a fresh representation.
5. The Writ Petition accordingly stands allowed and disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy
Judge