

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPURWPS No. 6106 of 2018

1. Rajesh Sarthi S/o Late Shri Santu Singh Sarthi, Aged About 53 Years, Conductor, Durg Depot, R/o Near Santoshi Mandir, Lakhe Nagar, Raipur, P. S. And P. O. Purani Basti, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Kanhaiya Lal Sindhi S/o Late Shri Thakur Das Kevalani, Aged About 54 Years, Conductor Baloda Bazar Depot, R/o Quarter No. 29, H- 7, Civil Line, Baloda Bazar, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.
3. Devki Vishwakarma W/o Late Shri Babulal Vishwakarma, Aged About 56 Years, U. D. C. (Account), Raipur Depot- II, R/o M. I. P. -156, Sector- 1, Pt. Dindayal Upadhyay Nagar, Opp. Ravi Shankar Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
4. Jay Kumar Bansode S/o Late Shri Pandurang Bansode, Aged About 65 Years, Carpenter- II, Divisional Workshop, Rawanbhata, Raipur, Depot, R/o Near Vodafone Tower, Vikash Nagar, Gudhiyari, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioners

Versus

1. Chhattisgarh Infrastructure Development Corporation (Parivahan Prabhaag) Headquarter, Through Its Managing Director, Old Phq Bhawan, Civil Line, P. S. Civil Line, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Madhya Pradesh Road Transport Corporation, Through Its Managing Director, Head Office Habibganj, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh.

---Respondents

For petitioners	:	Shri Vivek Kumar Agrawal, Advocate.
For respondent No.1	:	Shri Anshuman Rabra on behalf of Shri Ashish Shrivastava, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

18/09/2018

1. Counsel for the petitioners submits that an identical issue came up before the Coordinate Bench on 15.11.2017 and the same has been disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to make a fresh representation

to the respondent No.2 and it was further directed that respondent No.2 shall on receipt the representation take a prompt decision.

2. Counsel for the petitioners submits that present writ petition also may be disposed of in similar terms as the grievance raised by the petitioners is identical.

3. Given the facts and circumstances, this Court feels that the prayer made by the petitioners to be fair and reasonable. Accordingly, the present writ petition also is disposed of in similar terms as has been passed in the WPS No. 6005/2017 vide order dated 15.11.2017. Accordingly, the petitioners are at liberty to move a representation before the respondent No.2, before whom the claim of the previous set of petitions were under process.

4. On submission of the representation by the petitioners, the respondents shall as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two months shall verify and if the case of the petitioners are similarly to the previous set of petitions or the petitioners in the case of WPS No. 6005/2017, their claim shall also be processed along with the similarly placed persons, whose writ petitions have already been decided vide orders dated 04.07.2011 and 16.12.2012 in WPS No. 6510/2009 and WA No. 419/2011.

5. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of at the motion stage.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE