

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 6228 of 2018**

1. L. P. Tripathi S/o Late G.N. Tripathi Aged About 54 Years Address- Office Of The Deputy Director, Veterinary Services, Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
2. R. K. Gandharv S/o Shri Sukhram Gandharv Aged About 51 Years Address- Office Of The Deputy Director, Veterinary Services, Mungeli, Chhattisgarh.
3. Elanius Tigga S/o Late G. Tigga Aged About 56 Years Address- Office Of The Deputy Director, Veterinary Services, Ambikapur, Chhattisgarh.
4. B. L. Mandavi S/o Shri Kejulal Mandavi Aged About 53 Years Address- Office Of The Joint Director, Veterinary Services, Jagdalpur, Chhattisgarh.
5. Manoj Kumar Tanwar S/o Late Bhagwant Singh Aged About 50 Years Address- Office Of The Deputy Director, Veterinary Services, Jashpur, Chhattisgarh.
6. Smt. Jyoti Manjari Xalxo W/o Suresh Kumar Xalxo Aged About 47 Years Address- Office Of Deputy Director, Office Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.
7. Milan Ram Narethi S/o Late Gamchu Narethi Aged About 46 Years Address- Office Of The Deputy Director, Veterinary Services, Kondagawn Bastar, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of Krishi, Pashudhan Vikash, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Director Directorate Of Pashudhan Vikash Vibhag, Ground Floor, Block-3, Indravati Bhavan, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

----Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Neeraj Choubey, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Adhiraj Surana, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****24/09/2018**

1. The grievance raised by the petitioners in the present writ petition is the non-granting of the first and second time bond higher pay scale, which the petitioners had entitled on 8 years and 16 years of continuous service on the same post respectively.

2. Counsel for the petitioners submits that they have made repeated representations in this regard to the authorities and the respondent No.2 has also made recommendation in favour of the petitioners, but till date no decision has been taken in this regard.
3. Given the said facts, let the respondent No.1 take a decision on the representations made by the petitioners keeping in view the recommendation made by the respondent No.2 on 13.06.2016 (Annex.P/6). Let a decision be taken within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.
4. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioners to bring it to the notice of the respondent No.1 so far as the order passed by this Court is concerned. They would also be at liberty to file a fresh representation, if they so want, in respect of their claim.
5. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved