

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 6030 of 2018**

Ramgopal Kashyap S/o Late Shri Shyam Sundar Kashyap, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Working As Shiksha Karmi Grade - III, Government Primary School, Kohka, Block Pamgarh, District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Department Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat Janjgir, District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad, Panchayat Pamgarh, District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh
4. Block Education Officer, Pamgarh, District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri K. S. Pawar with Shri Sashi Ku. Kushwaha, Advocate
For State	:	Shri D. Wankhede, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****13.09.2018**

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. By this writ petition the petitioner is claiming Kramonnati. According to the petitioner, he has already completed 10 years of service and is entitled for the benefit of Kramonnati.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has also filed representation before the respondent authorities, but the same is not being considered.
4. At this Juncture, learned counsel for the State would submit that if the petitioner submit fresh representation along with copy of the petition before the concerned competent authority, the same shall be considered and decided, in accordance with law.
5. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of. If the petitioner file fresh representation before the concerned competent authority along with copy of the petition within a period of one month from today, the said authority is directed to consider and decide the same in accordance with law and on its own merits, as early as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of representations along with copy of this order.
6. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities shall decide the representations, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge