

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 5983 of 2018**

Priyanka Ekka D/o Silvanus Ekka Aged About 25 Years R/o Village - Type 2, Q. No. 7, Income Tax Colony Police Station Civil Line Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

**---- Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Tribal Welfare Department Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner ST And SC Caste Development Block 4A, Indravati Bhawan Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Collector ( Tribal Welfare) Ambikapur District Surguja Chhattisgarh.
4. Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare, Ambikapur District Surguja Chhattisgarh.

**----Respondents**

|                |   |                                |
|----------------|---|--------------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Mr. Ishwar Jaiswal, Advocate   |
| For State      | : | Mr. R.N. Pusty, Govt. Advocate |

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy****Order on Board****12/09/2018**

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is to the order of posting issued on 14.08.2018 (Annexure P/1), passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare Department i.e. the respondent No.4.
2. Vide the impugned order, the petitioner who is presently working as a Hostel Superintendent, Pre-Metric Girls Hostel, Bandana, Block Manpat has been transferred to the Boys Ashram, Dumardeah, Block Lundra.
3. From the contents of the writ petition, the only grievance which appears to be a genuine reason of the petitioner is that the petitioner being sent to a Boys Hostel, inspite of the fact that the petitioner is a young lady herself. She has already made a

representation in this regard to the respondents No.3 & 4 which is pending consideration.

4. Given the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this Court does not intend to keep the petition pending, rather ends of justice would meet if the representation, which is pending consideration before the respondents, be decided at the earliest. The petitioner is also at liberty to file an additional fresh representation to the respondents No.3 & 4 within a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, which further may be decided within a period of two months objectively considering the grievances of the petitioner, so far as the place of posting is concerned.
5. Meanwhile, it is ordered that the effect and operation of the impugned order so far as the petitioner is concerned, shall not be given effect to maintaining status quo as is exists today.
6. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-  
**(P. Sam Koshy)**  
**Judge**