

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 5930 of 2018**

Manisha W/o Durgesh Kumar, Aged About 23 Years, Caste Satnami,
R/o Village Kosmanda, Block Palari, District- Balodabazar-Bhatapara,
Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Women And Child Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Collector, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
3. District Programme Officer, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District- Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
5. Programme Officer, Integrate Women And Child Development Department, Palari, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
6. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Palari (Member Of Recruitment Committee), District- Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
7. Block Education Officer (Member Of Recruitment Committee), Janpad Panchayat Palari, District- Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
8. Block Medical Officer, Health And Medical Center Palari (Member Of Recruitment Committee), Palari, District- Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Ms. Hamida Siddiqui, Advocate
For State	:	Shri Arvind Dubey, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board**

11.09.2018

Ignoring the default pointed out by the Registry, with the consent of the parties, the matter decided finally.

2. The basic challenge in the present writ petition was in respect of non consideration of the petitioner for the post of Angan Badi Worker at Angan Badi Centre Kosmanda, Block Palari, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara.

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that pending the petition before this Court, the respondents have now issued a formal order of appointment granting appointment to some other person who originally was found to have scored less marks than the petitioner.

4. Given the said facts and change in circumstances, this Court is not inclined to proceed further with the matter at this juncture as the subsequent development is an appealable order and the appeal would lie before the Collector.

5. Thus, reserving the right of the petitioner to prefer an appeal before the Collector, the present writ petition stands disposed of. In case of an appeal being preferred by the petitioner, it is expected that the appellate authority would consider the objections and contentions which the petitioner raises in the appeal supported with all documents and after due verification of the same, would decide the appeal.

**Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge**