

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**CONT CASE NO. 989 OF 2018**

- Rishi Raj Bhrigu Sanskrit Samajik Shiksha Samiti Titurdih, Durg Address H No. 460, Ward No. 15, Titurdih, Durg, Through Its Secretary, Brijesh Mishra, S/o Satya Prasad Mishra, Aged About 60 Years, R/o A /8, Street No. 2, Panchshil Nagar, Borasi Colony Durg, Police Station Pulgaon, District Durg, Chhattisgarh., District : Durg, Chhattisgarh **---- Petitioner**

Versus

1. Shri Gaourav Diwidi Aged About 52 Years Post Secretary, Department Of School Education, New Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Shri Yash Prakash Aged About 50 Years Post Director, Directorate Of Public Instruction Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Shri Yash Prakash Aged About 50 Years Post Commissioner, Director Of Public Instruction Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Shri Ashutosh Chowre Aged About 56 Years District Education Officer, Durg District Durg Chhattisgarh, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh
5. Shri Malu Singh Chouhan Aged About 45 Years Post Block Development Education Officer, Dhamdha, District Durg Chhattisgarh, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh
6. Shri Suresh Sharma Aged About 57 Years Post Secretary Chhattisgarh Sanskrit, Vidhaya, Mandalam Near Water Tank New Rajendra Nagar, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh **--- Respondents / Contemnors**

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Jitendra Gupa, Advocate.
For Respondents/ Contemnors	:	Mr. Arun Sao, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****19/09/18**

1. This contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner for non-compliance of the order of this Court dated 09.01.2018 passed in WPC No. 24 of 2018.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions, submits that the

petitioner's school has already been inspected and order has been passed by the authorities on 17.09.2018 rejecting the case of the petitioner for affiliation of the school.

2. The aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.

4. Accordingly, the contempt case is closed. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to challenge the order dated 17.09.2018 in accordance with law.

5. A copy of the order dated 17.09.2018 be placed on record.

SD/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)

Judge