

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WRIT PETITION (S) NO.5644 OF 2018**

1. Tekram Kurrey S/o Vrinda Ram Aged About 42 Years R/o Village Kedarpur Janpad Panchayat Premnagar, District Surajpur Chhattisgarh., District : Surajpur, Chhattisgarh
2. Ashok Kumar Thakur S/o Lalman Thakur Aged About 41 Years R/o Village Barwahi, Janpad Panchayat Ramchandrapur District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh., District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh
3. Gayatri Gupta D/o Surendra Prasad Aged About 39 Years R/o Village - Ramchandarpur Janpad Panchayat Ramchandarpur District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh., District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh
4. Santhu Ram S/o Dular Ram Aged About 43 Years R/o Village Namnakhurd Post - Khamariya District Surguja Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh

...Petitioner(s)**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department Of Education Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The District Collector, The Collector Office Ambikapur, District Surguja Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh
4. The District Collector, The Collectors Office Balrampur Ramanujganj, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh., District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh
5. The District Collector, The Collectors Office Ambikapur, District Surajpur Chhattisgarh., District : Surajpur, Chhattisgarh
6. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Premnagar, District Surajpur Chhattisgarh., District : Surajpur, Chhattisgarh
7. The Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat Ramchandarpur, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh., District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh
8. The Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat Udaipur, District Surguja Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh
9. The Superintendent Of Police Anti corruption Bureau, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioners	:	Shri Shakti Raj Sinha, Advocate.
For Respondent-State	:	Ms. Astha Shukla, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****30.08.2018**

1. Defaults pointed out by the Registry, for the moment stands ignored.
2. Grievance of the petitioner is that he was appointed as Shikshakarmi vide Annexure P/1 (filed collectively) along with number of other persons including those whose names find place in the document Annexure P/4.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after about 2 years of service, the petitioner's service was terminated by an oral order along with

other persons. Some of the persons filed writ petition before this Court and thereafter, their representations were considered and they have been given appointment vide order dated 20.9.2013, 8.10.2015, 13.07.2017 and 21.02.2018 (Annexure P-4). He submits that purpose of filing this petition would be served if at this stage the petitioner is permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file representation before respondent No. 3 for similar relief. However, he prays for direction to respondent No. 3 to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of order dated 20.9.2013 passed in favour of five other similarly situated persons. He further submits that some time limit may also be fixed for respondent No. 3 to pass order.

4. State counsel has no objection if any such direction is issued to respondent No. 3. He, however, submits that the petitioner's case would be considered strictly in accordance with law subject to his suitability.
5. In view of above, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw this petition with the aforesaid liberty. In the eventuality of filing fresh representation along with copy of this order by the petitioner before respondent No. 3 within three weeks from today, it is expected from respondent No. 3 to pass appropriate order in accordance with law keeping in view the order dated 20.9.2013, 08.10.2015, 13.07.2017 and 21.02.2018 (Annexure P/4) as expeditiously as possible preferably within four months from the date of receipt of such representation.
6. Nothing in this order shall be construed as an expression of opinion of this Court on merits of the case and the competent authority shall be at liberty to decide representation of the petitioner in accordance with law.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy
Judge