

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPURWPS No. 5343 of 2018

1. Smt. Sadhana Khare W/o Om Prakash Khare, Aged About 46 Years, Working As Lecturer (Panchayat) At Govt. Higher Secondary School, Ahilda, Block Baloda Bazar, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh.
2. Paras Ram Banjare S/o Tilak Banjare, Aged About 35 Years, Working As Lecturer (Panchayat) At Govt. Higher Secondary School, Sonar Deveri, Block Palari, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh.
3. Rajesh Kumar Sahu S/o Ram Dular Sahu, Aged About 38 Years, Working As Lecturer (Panchayat) At Dau Khuman Singh Govt. Higher Secondary School, Guma, Block Palari, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh. Chhattisgarh
4. Smt. Madhu Verma W/o Bhagwat Dayal Verma, Aged About 39 Years, Lecturer (Panchayat), Govt. Higher Secondary School, Kusmi, Block Palari, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Baloda Bazar Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For petitioners	:	Shri Govind Dewangan, Advocate.
For State	:	Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam KoshyOrder on Board20/08/2018

1. The Petitioners are aggrieved by the action of the Respondents, whereby the increment granted to the Petitioners is being withdrawn on the ground that they have not passed B.Ed/D. Ed examination.

2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners submits that the issue with regard to withdrawal/non-grant of increment on the ground of having not passed B.Ed/D.Ed examination was examined by this Court in the case of Jayant Kumar Patle v. State of Chhattisgarh (WPS No.4271 of 2017 and batch of petitions, vide order dated 03.11.2017) and various directions have been issued for affording proper opportunity of hearing and then decide the matter.

3. Learned Counsel for the State submits that the Petitioners claim would be examined after affording opportunity of hearing in terms of order dated 03.11.2017 passed in the case of Jayant Kumar Patle (supra).

4. Considering the aforesaid submission, this petition is finally disposed of, with a direction to the Respondents for examination of Petitioners claim in terms of order passed by this Court in the case of Jayant Kumar Patle (supra) and take appropriate decision thereon.

5. The writ petition stands accordingly disposed of.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE