

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPCR No. 168 of 2016

Suraj Soni S/o Sita Ram Soni, Aged About 30 Years R/o. Raj Kishore Nagar,
Bilaspur, P. S. Sarkanda, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya,
Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh, Chhattisgarh
2. Superintendent Of Police, Koria Chhattisgarh, District : Koriya (Baikunthpur),
Chhattisgarh
3. Station House Officer, P. S. Manendragarh, District Koria Chhattisgarh, District :
Koriya (Baikunthpur), Chhattisgarh
4. Satyanarayan Sonar, Aged About 65 Years R/o C. H. M. Road, Manendragarh,
District Koria Chhattisgarh, District : Koriya (Baikunthpur), Chhattisgarh
5. Smt. Raj Kumari Sonar W/o Satyanarayan Sonar, Aged About 60 Years R/o C. H.
M. Road, Manendragarh, District Koria Chhattisgarh, District : Koriya
(Baikunthpur), Chhattisgarh
6. Smt. Sunita Gupta, Agent Code- 21888 Option- I, Trade And Merchant Tile
Private Limited, R/o. Ward No. 20, Ama Kherva Road, Infront Of Universal
School, Manendragarh, District Koria Chhattisgarh, District : Koriya
(Baikunthpur), Chhattisgarh
7. Option One Trade And Merchantile Private Limited, Through Chief Executive
Officer, Corporate Office, Vill. Domju, 2nd Floor, Kacharibari, P.O. Domju,
District Howra- 711405 West Bengal, District : Howrah, West Bengal
8. Option One Trade And Merchantile Private Limited, Through R. M. 299, B- 2nd
Floor, Orbit Mall, Scheme No. 54, A- B Road, Indore Madhya Pradesh 452001,
District : Indore, Madhya Pradesh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Prashant Jayaswal, Senior Advocate with Shri Suresh C. Gupta and Shri Ravi Kumar Bhagat, Advocates
For State/Res.No.1 to 3	:	Shri Manish Nigam, Panel Lawyer
For Res. No.4 & 5	:	Ms. Arpana Singh, Advocate
For Res. No.6	:	Shri F.S. Khare, Advocate

S.B. : Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

30/08/2018

Heard.

1. In this petition, number of grounds have been raised by the petitioner for quashing of FIR. However, this Court finds that during pendency of this petition, charge sheet has now been filed and there is no interim order passed in the matter.
2. Therefore, in these circumstances, when the petitioner has remedy to argue against framing of charge before the Court below in appropriate stage of proceedings, I am not inclined to entertain this petition. The petition is therefore dismissed, however, with liberty to raise all the grounds available under the law at the stage of framing of charge to convince the Court below that no case is made out worth framing of charges.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge