

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 5192 of 2018**

Vijay Laxmi Sao D/o Shri G.S. Sao Aged About 30 Years Posted At Sub- Engineer, Project Implementation Unit, No. 1, Chhattisgarh, Sadak Vikas Abhikaran, Raigarh, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh. R/o Government ERR PG Science College, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Chief Engineer, Rural Engineer Services, Office Of Development Commissioner, Raipur District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Development Commissioner, Panchayat And Gramin Vikas Vibhag Vikas Bhawan Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
4. Executive Engineer, Project Implementation Unit No. 1 Chhattisgarh, Sadak Vikas Abhikaran, Raigarh, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh.

----Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Mateen Siddiqui, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Syed Majid Ali, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****13/08/2018**

1. The grievance of the petitioner in this writ petition is to the impugned order (Annexure P/1) dated 31.03.2016, whereby the respondents have ordered for accepting the resignation which is alleged to have been submitted by the petitioner.
2. Perusal of the record shows that though the order dated 31.03.2016 was passed about 2½ years ago, but the petitioner does not seem to have raised any objection or an appeal or a representation against the said order to any of the higher authorities in the department and has straight away after about 2½ years has filed the present writ petition.

3. Unless the petitioner ventilates her grievance with the competent authority, the petitioner would not be entitled to avail the recourse of a judicial review invoking the writ jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution.
4. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case so far as the entitlement or the rights in favour of the petitioner is concerned, the present writ petition stands disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to prefer an appeal/objection against the said order before the higher authorities in the department and on such objection being filed, the authorities concerned are expected to take a prompt decision in accordance with law after due verification of the documents and records available with the respondents.
5. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved