

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPC No. 2274 of 2018**

Smt. Malti Paikra W/o Shri Mahesh Singh, aged about 30 years, Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat, Umariya Dadar, R/o Village Umariya Dadar, Janpad Panchayat, Kota, District Bilaspur (C.G.)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Panchayat and Rural Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Collector, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. The Sub-Divisional Officer (Revenue), Kota, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Kota, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
5. Phool Singh Paikra, posted as Assistant Antarik Lekha Parichhak and Kararopan Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Kota, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
6. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Bilaspur (C.G.)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Goutam Khetrapal, Advocate.
For Respondents/State	:	Mr. Avinash Singh, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****13/08/18**

1. This writ petition has been preferred by the petitioner against the order passed by the Collector, Bilaspur on 05.06.2018.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the impugned order is without jurisdiction and without authority of law and, therefore, it is liable to be set aside.
3. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that the order of Collector is revisable before the Commissioner.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

5. Be that as it may, since remedy of revision is available to the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to entertain this writ petition. However, if revision is preferred by the petitioner before the Commissioner within a period of two weeks, in that event, the Commissioner shall consider and do well to dispose of the petitioner's revision expeditiously, preferably within a period of two months from the date of filing of the revision after hearing the affected parties.

6. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

7. Certified copy of the impugned order be returned to the counsel for the petitioner on furnishing attested photocopy thereof.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge