

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**CRA No. 1122 of 2018**

- Ajay Lahare son of Soukhi Lal Lahare, aged about 27 years, R/o village Bharari, Satnami Muhalla, P.S. Kota, District Bilaspur (C.G.)

---- Appellant**Versus**

- State of Chhattisgarh Through- The Station House Officer, Police Station Sakari, District Bilaspur (C.G.)

---- Respondent

14/11/2018	Shri Rajeev Kumar Dubey, counsel for the appellant. Ms. K. Trupti Rao, P.L. for the State. Heard. This appeal is directed against the order dated 25.06.2018 passed by Special Judge (N.I.A.), Bilaspur in Special Case (N.I.A.) No.3/2017 by which the application for grant of bail to the appellant has been rejected. Learned counsel for the appellant would argue that the appellant has been falsely implicated and a false seizure of 16 notes of the denomination of Rs.100/- have been shown against him, whereas he has not committed any such offence. He further submits that there is no material in the charge sheet to show that these currencies were put in circulation by the present appellant and the entire case is against the main accused Tilakram from whom printing paper machinery for printing counterfeit currency were seized. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that in the present case there are two accused one is Tilakram and another is the present appellant. From the possession of accused Tilakram printing machine paper and counterfeit currencies were recovered and on his memorandum statement, name of the present appellant was disclosed

and, thereafter, from the possession of present appellant as many as 16 counterfeit currency notes of Rs. 100/- denomination have been seized by the Investigating Officer in presence of witnesses of seizure. It is further argued that from the possession of the present appellant certain pieces of paper containing printing material of currency have also been seized which translates his involvement in the alleged commission of offence.

The application of the appellant has been rejected by the N.I.A. Court taking into consideration prima-facie case of his involvement based on seizure of counterfeit currency. Therefore, no interference is warranted in the impugned order rejecting application for grant of bail to the appellant.

The appeal is, therefore, dismissed.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-

(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge

Sd/-

(Rajani Dubey)
Judge