

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

M.CR.C. No. 5245 of 2018

1. Vedram Kurre, S/o. Pokhru Ram Kurre, Aged About 32 Years, R/o. Datrenga Talab Murga Chowk, Sejbahar, Police Station Mujgahan District Raipur Chhattisgarh. Presently Residing At Beside Star Bar Chandrakar Bhawan Society Chowk Borsi, Durg District Durg (C.G.)
2. Jitendra Banjare, S/o. Somlal Banjare, Aged About 22 Years, R/o. Dhuma, Police Station Utai, Tahsil and District Durg Chhattisgarh.

---- Applicants

Versus

State Of Chhattisgarh, Through : the District Magistrate, Durg, District – Durg, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

For Applicants	:	Mr. H.B. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Deepali Dubey, Advocate
For Respondent	:	Mr. Anil S. Pandey, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rajendra Chandra Singh Samant
Order On Board

14/08/2018

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the applicants who have been arrested in connection with Crime No.156/2018, registered at Police Station- Utai, District – Durg (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 420, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.
2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants have been falsely implicated in this case. Applicants are in jail since 06.05.2018. Charge-sheet in this case has been filed after completion of investigation. No case is made out against them according to the material present in the charge-sheet. Hence, it is prayed that the applicants may be enlarged on bail.
3. On the other hand, the learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the bail application and the submission made in this respect. It is submitted that the applicants have cheated numerous persons, hence, looking to the numerosity of the offence committed, they are not entitled for grant of bail.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents placed on record.
5. The allegation against the applicants is this that they publicized Mobile Jeb Pey Bit Quine Krepto Currency Exchange and induced the complainant and others investors to make investment in the said scheme promising return in double within a period of three months. After the deposits were made by the complainant and others, no refund was received by the complainant and others after maturity period. Some cheques were given by the applicants by way of refund to the investors but the same has been dishonoured by the Bank. Hence, the FIR has been lodged. .
6. Considered the submissions made and the contents of the case diary. Considering on the entire material present in the case diary and for the reasons that now the case is pending for trial before the trial Court and both the applicants are resident of District Durg, whose availability can be ensured by imposing suitable conditions, hence for this reason, this Court is of the opinion that present is a fit case, in which, the applicants should be enlarged on regular bail.
7. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. is allowed.
8. It is directed that the applicants shall be released on bail on their furnishing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety each in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, for their appearance as and when directed.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Rajendra Chandra Singh Samant)
Judge