

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 4969 of 2018**

Shivkumar Sahu S/o Teejram Sahu, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Village Kotetara, Tehsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir- Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Jaijaipur, District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh
3. Charan Kumar S/o Ganesh Ram, R/o Village Kotetara, Tehsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Basant Dewangan, Advocate
For Respondent/State	:	Shri R. K. Gupta, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****27.08.2018**

The only grievance of the petitioner is that he had applied for the post of Gram Rojgar Sahayak on contract basis issued vide advertisement dated 31.07.2017. Though he was found eligible for the said post but he has not been provided any marks for his experience and in the process, respondent no.3 has been found to be more suitable.

2. According to the petitioner, if he is provided marks for his experience, he would score more marks than respondent no.3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised an objection in this regard which is pending consideration.

3. Given the limited nature of dispute raised by the petitioner that whether he has been given marks for his experience or not and if the petitioner is given marks for his experience, whether he would score more marks than respondent no.3. Therefore let respondent no.2 consider and decide the objection of the petitioner objectively after scrutinizing the documents available so far as the recruitment is concerned and thereby take an appropriate decision. In case it is found that the petitioner has not been given marks for his experience then suitable measure would be taken. If respondent no.2 finds that the petitioner's objection do not have any merit, the same may be rejected by giving reasons. Let this exercise be done by respondent no.2 before issuance of formal order of appointment to respondent no.3 if it has not been issued till now. If it has been issued then the objection of the petitioner be decided within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

**Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge**