

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 4824 of 2018

- Nand Kumar Dewangan S/o Late Narayan Prasad Dewangan Aged About 52 Years Workign As Training Officer, Posted At Government I.T. I. Saddu District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Skill Development Technical Education And Employment, Department, Mahanadi Bhawan Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Director, Employment And Training New Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Shri Baldev Prasad Sahu Training Superintendent Posted At Government I T I Ramchandrapur, District Balrampur Chhattisgarh.
4. Shri Khomeshwar Prasad Patel, Training Superintendent , Posted At Government I T I Shankergarh , District Balrampur Chhattisgarh.
5. Shri Prahlad Ku Soni, Training Superintendent Posted At Government I T I Marwahi, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.
6. Shri Thomus , Training Superintendent , Posted At Government I T I Khairagarh, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh.
7. Shri Pritam Lodhi, Training Superintendent Posted At Government I T I Patan, District Durg Chhattisgarh.
8. Shri M L Kushwaha Training Superintendent Posted At Government I T I Woman, Koni District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.
9. Shri Khagesh Kumar Gupta Training Superintendent, Posted At Government I T I Korba District Korba Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri Somkant Verma, Advocate

For Respondents : Shri Dheeraj Wankhede, Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sharad Kumar Gupta

Order On Board

27.07.2018

1. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition to quash order dated 07.06.2018 (Annexure P-1) issued by respondent No.2 for promotion of the

private respondents i.e. respondents No. 3 to 9 for the post of Training Superintendent and to direct respondent No.2 to grant him promotion as Training Superintendent, one day before, when the juniors employees have been promoted as Training Superintendent with all consequential benefits and to decide his pending representation dated 11.06.2018 (Annexure P/5).

2. The petitioner is working as Training Officer and posted at Government I.T.I., Saddu, District - Raipur.

3. Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the instant writ petition may be disposed of by giving direction to respondent No.2 to decide his pending representation dated 11.06.2018 (Annexure P/5) within a stipulated time.

4. Looking to the facts and circumstances of the case and submission made by the counsel for the petitioner, the instant writ petition is disposed of with this direction that respondent No.2 shall consider and decide the pending representation of the petitioner dated 11.06.2018 (Annexure P/5) expeditiously preferably within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, according to law and procedure and considering all consequential benefits.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-

(Sharad Kumar Gupta)
JUDGE