

**NAFR****HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****Writ Petition (S) No. 4593 of 2018**

Sahdev Ram Nag S/o Shri Khakhlu Ram Aged About 33 Years Working As Lecturer (Panchayat) At Govt. High School Marol, Block- Bagicha, District- Jashpur, Chhattisgarh.

**---- Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Of Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner-Cum-Director Directorate Of Panchayat, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat, Jashpur, District- Jashpur, Chhattisgarh.

**---- Respondents**


---

For Petitioner : Mr. Manoj Chauhan, Advocate

For State : Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Sharad Kumar Gupta****Order On Board****16.07.2018**

1. Heard counsel for the parties.
2. Petitioner has preferred this writ petition praying for a direction to the respondents to consider and count his earlier service for grant of revised pay scale without insisting upon NOC.
3. The petitioner appointed as Shiksha Karmi Grade-II by Janpad Panchayat, Jashpur on 13.02.2009 and thereafter he was appointed as Shiksha Karmi Grade I by Zila Panchayat, Jashpur on 30.06.2010. The respondents are not considering his earlier

service for revised pay scale for want of NOC from earlier Panchayat.

4. Counsel for both the parties submit that the matter is squarely covered by the orders of this Court **dated 28.11.2017** passed in **WPS No.2530/2017 (Mukesh Kumar Patel and anr. Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and another)**, order dated **08.01.2018** passed in **WPS No.166/2018 (Peenal Kumar Kurrey Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and another)** and order dated **19.06.2018** passed in **WPS No.3932/2018 (Smt. Indumati Sahu Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & another)**.
5. In view of above submission and the aforesaid orders passed by this Court, the present writ petition is also allowed with the direction that the petitioner is entitled to obtain the benefit of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years service by including the services rendered by him on a lower post or on the same post.
6. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-

(Sharad Kumar Gupta)  
**JUDGE**

L/-