

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****Writ Petition (S) No. 4700 of 2018**

Pramodd Kumar Sharma S/o Late Rajaram Sharma Aged About 59 Years  
Posted As Chief Executive Officer, Zila Antyawasayi Sahkari Vikas Samiti  
Mahasamund Tahsil And District Mahasamund, Civil And Revenue District  
Mahasamund Chhattisgarh.

**---- Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Tribal Development Department, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralay, New Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Managing Director, Chhattisgarh Rajya Antyawasayi Sahkari Finance And Development Corporation, Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Collector And President Zila Antyawasayi Sahkari Vikas Samiti Maryadit, Durg, District Durg Chhattisgarh., District : Durg, Chhattisgarh

**----Respondents**


---

|                |   |                                     |
|----------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Shri Manoj Kumar Sinha, Advocate    |
| For State      | : | Shri Ashutosh Pandey, Panel Lawyer. |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy****Order on Board****20/07/2018**

1. The challenge in this petition is to the order of suspension dated 02.07.2018 passed by the respondent No.2.
2. The ground of challenge by the petitioner is that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the criminal case and that his name did not reflect in the original FIR but has been subsequently added at the time of filing of charge sheet. He further submits that the petitioner has not been arrested and placed in custody for more than 48 hours so as to place an employee under suspension.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that against the order of suspension, the petitioner has already preferred an objection/representation on 05.07.2018 to the respondent No.2 which has not been decided till date.
4. Taking into consideration the period lapsed from the date of filing of representation on 05.07.2018 and the present writ petition having been filed

on 07.07.2018, this court is of the opinion that the present writ petition, at this juncture, is pre-matured to entertain by this court.

5. Given the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this court is of the opinion that ends of justice would meet if this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the pending representation of the petitioner dated 05.07.2018 as expeditiously as possible. It is ordered.
6. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

**(P. Sam Koshy)**  
**Judge**

inder