

NAFR

HIGH COURT of CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 7332 of 2017**

Jameel Ahmed S/o Late Abdul Majid, Aged About 42 Years R/o Village Ramchandrapur Police Station Ramchandrapur District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department, Of Panchayat And Rural Development, D. K. S. Bhawan, Raipur Chhattisgarh., Chhattisgarh
2. The District Collector, The Collectors Office Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.
3. The Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat Sankargarh, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.
4. The Superintendent Of Police Anticorruption Bureau, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.
5. Pahluram Kushwaha S/o Dashram, Aged About 24 Years R/o Village Sarna Nouli, Post Raghunath Nagar, District Sruguja Chhattisgarh.
6. Harishchand Prasad Gautam S/o Shri Ram Prasad, Aged About 41 Years R/o Raghunathnagar Tahsil Wadraf Nagar, Police Station Raghunathnagar, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.
7. Lal Bahadur S/o Late Munnalal Aged About 37 Years R/o Village Sarna, Police Station Raghunathnagar Tahsil Wardranagar, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.
8. Tarkeshwar Yadav S/o Ram Vilas Aged About 43 Years R/o Village Rampur, Police Station Chalgali District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.
9. Baldeo Das S/o Shri Manglu Das Aged About 41 Years R/o Village Manpur Tahsil Wadraf Nagar, Police Station Raghunathnagar, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.
10. Ram Kumar Yadav S/o Laxmi Narayan Yadav Aged About 52 Years R/o Virendranagar, Police Station Virendranagar Tehsil Wadraf Nagar District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.
11. Mahendra Kumar Yadav S/o Jagdev Ram, Aged About 41 Years R/o Village Vinayakpur Post Manoharpur District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Shakti Raj Sinha, Advocate.
For State	:	Shri Mazid Ali, Dy. Govt. Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****15.01.2018**

1. Grievance of the petitioner is that he was appointed as Shikshakarmi vide Annexure P/1 (filed collectively) along with number of other persons

including those whose names find place in the document Annexure P/4.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after about 1 and ½ years of service, the petitioners' services were terminated by an oral order along with other persons. Some of the persons filed writ petition before this Court and thereafter, their representations were considered and they have been given appointment vide order dated 20.9.2013 & 8.10.2015 (Annexure P-4). He submits that purpose of filing this petition would be served if at this stage the petitioner is permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file representation before respondent No. 3 for similar relief. However, he prays for direction to respondent No. 3 to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of order dated 20.9.2013 passed in favour of five other similarly situated persons. He further submits that some time limit may also be fixed for respondent No. 3 to pass order.
3. State counsel has no objection if any such direction is issued to respondent No. 3. He, however, submits that the petitioners' case would be considered strictly in accordance with law subject to their suitability.
4. In view of above, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw this petition with the aforesaid liberty. In the eventuality of filing fresh representation along with copy of this order by the petitioner before respondent No. 3 within three weeks from today, it is expected from respondent No. 3 to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law keeping in view the order dated 20.9.2013, 08.10.2015 and 13.07.2017 (Annexure P/4) as expeditiously as possible preferably within four months from the date of receipt of such representation.
5. Nothing in this order shall be construed as an expression of opinion of this Court on merits of the case and the competent authority shall be at liberty to decide representation of the petitioners in accordance with law.

Sd/-
(P.Sam Koshy)
Judge