

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****Writ Petition (S) No. 4694 of 2018**

Sunil Kumar Gadhewal S/o Shri D.R. Gadhewal, Aged About 29 Years R/o Village And Post Munund, Tahsil And District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh. Trainee Officer Govt. Industrial Training Institute, Sukma, District Sukma Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Technical Education And Employment Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Director, Directorate Employment And Training, Chhattisgarh, Indravati Bhawan, Block - 4, First Floor, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Superintendent, Government Industrial Training Institute, Sukma, District Sukma Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Ms. Kiran Singh, Advocate

For State : Mr. Dhiraj Wankhede, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sharad Kumar Gupta**Order On Board****20.07.2018**

1. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition seeking for a direction to respondent No.2 to decide his pending representations dated 15.06.2016 & 14.07.2017 in view of circular dated 30.08.2010 issued by the State Government and to transfer him near his birth place or home town.
2. The petitioner is working as Trainee Officer and posted at Govt. Industrial Training Institute, Sukma, District Sukma.
3. Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner may be

permitted to file fresh representation before respondent No.2 for redressal of his grievances and direction may be issued to the said authority to decide such representation within stipulated time.

4. I have heard counsel for the petitioner.
5. Looking to these facts and circumstances of the case and submission made by the counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed off with this direction that the petitioner may file fresh representation before respondent No.2 for redressal of his grievances within 10 days from today. In case the petitioner files such representation before respondent No.2 then respondent No.2 shall decide such representation expeditiously preferably within a period of 45 days from the date of filing of such representation, according to law and procedure.
6. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-

(Sharad Kumar Gupta)
JUDGE

L/-