

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

MCRC No. 4808 of 2018

1. Ranjeet Singh S/o Shri Manjeet Singh Aged About 30 Years R/o Tatibandh Near Kolkata Mishtan Bhandar, P.S. Amanaka, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Charanjeet Singh S/o Shri Dharm Singh Aged About 40 Years R/o Bhilai Power House Nandani Road, P.S. Chawni, District Durg, Chhattisgarh, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh
3. Amrindar Singh S/o Shri Manjeet/baljeet Singh Aged About 27 Years R/o Behind Bharat Mata School, Tatibandh, P.S. Amanaka, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Applicants

Versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Police Station Gudhiyari, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

&

MCRC No. 4945 of 2018

- Amandeep Singh S/o Shri Pritam Singh, Aged About 32 Years R/o Behind Bharat Mata School, H.No. 65 Janta Quarter, Tatibandh, P.S. Amanaka, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Applicant

Versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Police Station Gudhiyari, Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

For Applicants : Mr. Ankur Agrawal, Advocate in MCRC
No.4808/2018.

Mr. S.S. Baghel, Advocate in MCRC
No.4945/2018.
For Respondent : Mrs. Smita Ghai, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rajendra Chandra Singh Samant

Order On Board

13/08/2018

1. Since the above bail applications arise out of the same crime number, they are being disposed of by this common order.
2. All these bail applications filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 are first bail applications on behalf of the applicants for grant of regular bail to them as they are in custody in connection with Crime No.165/2018 registered at Police Station-Gudhiyari, District – Bilaspur(C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 384, 458, 323, 506B, 147, 148, 149 & 201 of Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC').
3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that applicants are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. No case is made out against these applicants. The fact of the case is this, that applicants had been to the complainant to ask for repayment of the dues because of which they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, it is prayed that they may be released on regular bail.
4. Learned counsel for the respondent/State opposes the applications and submissions made in this respect. It is submitted applicants have criminal antecedents of having being prosecuted twice for various other offences under Indian Penal Code and they have also been proceeded against the case of preventive nature, hence, the

application be rejected.

5. Heard both the parties and perused the case diary.
6. It is alleged that on the date of incident applicants arrived the office of the complainant Rakesh Sahu and demanded Rs.1 lakh as donation and the complainant refused the same, thereafter they threatened him and later on they came armed with Hockey, rods etc. and assaulted and caused injury to the servants of the complainant. Hence, this case.
7. Considered on the entire material present in the case diary, the injuries caused to the injured persons are simple in nature. After due consideration, I am of this view that this is a fit where applicants be released on regular bail.
8. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. is allowed. It is directed that the applicants shall be released on bail on their furnishing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/-each with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, for their appearance as and when directed.

Sd/-
(Rajendra Chandra Singh Samant)
Judge