

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 6135 of 2014

1. Laxman Singh S/o Ankalu Ram Halba Aged About 44 Years Gangman, PWD Sub Division No.1, Balod, R/o Village Durgitola, Post Rengadabri, Tah And Police Station Dondilohara, Civil And Revenue Dist Balod, Chhattisgarh
2. Gokul Ram S/o Kaliram Halba Aged About 47 Years Gangman PWD Sub Division No.1, Balod, R/o Village Durgitola, Post Rengadabri, Tah And Police Station Dondilohara, Civil And Revenue Distt Balod, Chhattisgarh
3. Ankalu Ram S/o Birjhu Ram Halba Aged About 47 Years Gangman, PWD Sub Division No.1, Balod, R/o Vill And Post Rengadabri, Tah And Police Station Dondilohara, Civil And Revenue Distt Balod, Chhattisgarh
4. Santu Ram S/o Laxman Halba Aged About 44 Years Gangman, PWD Sub Division No.1, Balod, R/o Vill Khairkatta, Post Rengadabri, Tah And Police Station Dondilohara, Civil And Revenue Distt Balod, Chhattisgarh
5. Hari Ram S/o Mehtar Ram Halba Aged About 44 Years Gangman, PWD Sub Division No.1, Balod, R/o Vill Khairkatta, Post Rengadabri, Tah And Police Station Dondilohara, Civil And Revenue Distt Balod, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Public Works Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Dist Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Engineer-In-Chief Public Works Department, Shirpur Bhawan, Raipur, Dist Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Engineer Public Works Department, Raipur Range, Dist Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Superintending Engineer Public Works Department, Durg Division, Dist Durg, Chhattisgarh
5. Executive Engineer Public Works Department Bh/s Division, Balod, Dist Balod, Chhattisgarh
6. Sub Division Officer, Public Works Department Bh/s Division, Balod, Dist Balod, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners : Shri Amit Sahu, Advocate
For Respondents : Ms. K. Tripti Rao, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sharad Kumar Gupta

Order On Board

27.06.2018

1. Heard.
2. The petitioners are daily wage employees working under Public Works Department at Balod. They preferred this writ petition to direct the respondent authorities to regularise them.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners urged that the petitioners will file fresh representation before respondent No.2, Engineer-in-Chief, Raipur. Their matter is squarely covered by order dated 16.05.2017 passed in Writ Petition (S) No. 1703 of 2015 and analogous petitions. Thus, respondent No.2 may be directed to dispose off said representations within stipulated time in the light of the aforesaid order.
4. Looking to the facts and circumstances of the case, aforesaid order, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed off with this direction that the petitioners may file fresh representation before the respondent No. 2 within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order. The respondent No.2 shall decide the said representations, within 90 days from the date of receipt of such representations, in accordance with the law and the procedure.

Sd/-

(Sharad Kumar Gupta)
JUDGE