

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 1546 of 2013**

Rajender Singh, S/o. Late Amrik Singh, Aged about 63 years, Retired Deputy Commandant, Central Industrial Security Force, R/o. 27 Kholi, Vikas Nagar, Bilaspur, Police Station Civil Lines, Bilaspur, Head Post Office Bilaspur, Police and Revenue District Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Central Industrial Security Force, Block No.13, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi, Through: its Director General, Central Industrial Security Force, Block No.13, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
2. Deputy Inspector General (Personnel), Block No.13, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.

----Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Manoj Paranjpe, Advocate
For Respondents	:	Mr. Rajkumar Gupta, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****14/08/2018**

1. The challenge in the present writ petition was to the issuance of the charge sheet and conducting of the departmental enquiry by the respondents.
2. The counsel appearing for the respondents submits that pending the petition before this Court, the respondents have already finalized the departmental enquiry, since there was no interim protection in favour of the petitioner and the petitioner has been found guilty in the departmental enquiry and he has also been inflicted with a punishment on 16.12.2015 and the said order of punishment is already under challenge before this Court in another writ petition i.e. WPS No. 847/2016.
3. This aspect is not disputed by the counsel for the petitioner.

4. Given the said fact, now that the departmental enquiry under challenge is already concluded and an order of punishment also has been passed, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner, since he has challenged the subsequent development in a separate writ petition, the petitioner would have the liberty of taking the grounds that he has raised in the present writ petition also for challenging the punishment order as well as the departmental enquiry in the said writ petition.
5. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved