

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****Writ Petition (S) No. 4199 of 2018**

Pankaj Singh S/o Krishna Singh, Aged About 29 Years R/o Quarter No. 3-3, Ward No. 11, Block Colony, Dantewada Chhattisgarh, Presently R/o Hig No. 134, Abhilasha Parishar, Tifra, New Bus Stand, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Health And Family Welfare, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Capital Complex, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. National Health Mission, Chhattisgarh, Through The Mission Director, National Health Mission, Chhattisgarh, 4th Floor, Chhattisgarh Grih Nirman Mandal, Commercial Complex (South East Corner), Sector 27, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Commissioner, Health Service, Directorate Health Services, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
4. Director, Health Service, Directorate Health Services, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
5. Collector, Sukma, District Sukma Chhattisgarh., District : Sukuma, Chhattisgarh.
6. Zila Panchayat, Sukma, Through Its Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Sukma Chhattisgarh., District : Sukuma, Chhattisgarh.
7. Chief Executive Officer - Cum - Nodel Officer, Health Service, Zila Panchayat - Sukma, District Sukma Chhattisgarh, District : Sukuma, Chhattisgarh.
8. Chief Medical And Health Officer, Sukma, District Sukma Chhattisgarh., District : Sukma, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Malay Shrivastava, Advocate

For Respondents : Mr. Dhiraj Wankhede, Govt. Advocate and Mr. C.Jayant K. Rao, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sharad Kumar Gupta**Order On Board****03.07.2018**

Heard.

1. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition to direct respondents to consider and decide his pending representations dated 29.11.2016 and 13.12.2016.
2. The petitioner was working as Hospital Consultant at District Sukma. His services has been terminated by the respondent No.8 on 25.11.2016.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would submit that the instant writ petition may be disposed of with the direction to the respondents that his pending representations dated 29.11.2016 and 13.12.2016 may be disposed of as early as possible.
4. Looking to the facts and circumstances of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with the direction to the respondents to consider and decide the aforesaid pending representations of the petitioner expeditiously preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order, according to law and procedure. This Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-

(Sharad Kumar Gupta)
JUDGE

L/-