

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**M.Cr.C. No. 4591 of 2018**

1. Hemant Jangde, aged about 19 years, S/o Shri Rajkumar Jangde, R/o Village – Rajpur, P.S. City Kotwali, Balauda Bazar, District Balauda Bazar - Bhatapara (C.G.)
----Applicant

Versus

The State of Chhattisgarh, through Station House Officer, P.S. Simga, District Baluda Bazar – Bhatapara, C.G.
----Non-applicant

For Applicant	:	Mr. Pushpendra Kumar Patel, Advocate.
For Respondent/State	:	Mr. Avinash Singh, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****16/07/18**

1. This is the second bail application filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 332/2017, registered at Police Station Simga, Balauda Bazar – Bhatapara (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 366, 363, 376 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 4 and 18 of the Protection of Children From Sexual Offences Act, 2012.
2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that, the applicant has committed sexual intercourse with the minor prosecutrix and thereby committed the offence under the aforesaid sections.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the crime in question and has not committed any offence. He further submits that the applicant is in custody since 30.10.2017

and charge-sheet has been filed and the statement of prosecutrix has been recorded in which she has not supported the case and also the trial is likely to take some time for its final disposal, therefore he may be released on bail.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the bail application.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Taking into consideration the facts & circumstances of the case; further taking into consideration the nature & gravity of the offence; role of the present applicant; material available on record; and also the age of the prosecutrix, I do not consider it a fit case, in which, the applicant should be enlarged on regular bail.

7. Accordingly, second bail application filed under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. is rejected.

Certified copy as per rules.

SD/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)

Judge