

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****Writ Petition (S) No. 4188 of 2018**

Dr. Hemlata Tiwari Pathak D/o D/o Late Shri Bala Prasad Tiwari Aged About 53 Years R/o H. No. 5, Saraswati Vihar, Behind Science College, Boys Hostel No. 3, Beside Sushil Girls Hostel G. E. Road, Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board Through Chairman, Head Quarter, Danganiya, Raipur.
2. Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited, Through Its Chairman, C. S. Power Companies Ltd. Campus, Danganiya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Executive Director (HR), Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Limited, C. S. Power Company, Danganiya Campus Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
4. The Executive Director (HR), Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited, C. S. Power Company, Danganiya, Campus Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
5. The Chief Engineer (RR), Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited, C. S. Power Distribution Company Ltd., gudhiyari Campus, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
6. Senior Accountant Officer (1), Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited, Gudhiyari Campus Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Prasoon Agrawal, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sharad Kumar Gupta**Order On Board****11.07.2018**

Heard.

1. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition to direct respondent authorities to comply with the order dated 13.12.2006 passed by the Industrial Court for grant of annual increment w.e.f. June 1988 instead of

November, 1988 and grant further benefits and arrears.

2. The petitioner is working as O.A. Grade II, O/o Senior Accounts Officer-1 at Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited, Raipur.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner may be permitted to file fresh representation before respondents No.2, 5 & 6 for redressal of her grievances and direction may be issued to the said authorities to decide the same within stipulated time.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
5. Looking to the facts and circumstances of the case and submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant writ petition is disposed of with this direction that the petitioner is free to file fresh representation before respondents No.2, 5 & 6 for redressal of her grievances. If such representation is filed by the petitioner before respondents No.2, 5 & 6, in that event respondents No.2, 5 & 6 shall consider and decide such representation expeditiously preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of filing of such representation, according to law and procedure.
6. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-

(Sharad Kumar Gupta)
JUDGE

L/-