

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 4275 of 2018**

Dr. Ramchandra Ramteke S/o Shri S.C. Ramteke, Aged About
44 Years R/o Janta Colony, 106, Gudhiyari, Raipur, District
Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Agriculture And
Veterinary Department , Mahanadi Bhawan Manatralaya New
Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Director , Agriculture And Veterinary Health Services , Raipur
District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Chhattisgarh Kamdhenu University, Through Registrar Anjora ,
Durg District Durg Chhattisgarh.
4. Dean, College Of Veterinary Science, Anjora, Durg District Durg
Chhattisgarh.
5. Dr. R. K. Baghel Assistant Professor , Veterinary Paracytology
Veterinary And Animal Husbandry College Anjora , Durg District
Durg Chhattisgarh.
6. Dr. Savita Bisen , Assistant Professor, Veterinary Paracytology
Veterinary And Animal Husbandry College , Anjora , Durg
District Durg Chhattisgarh.
7. Dr. Meenu Kashyap , Professor , Pashupalan Anjora , Durg
District Durg Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For the Petitioner	:	Shri T.K. Tiwari, Advocate
For the State/Res. No.1 & 2	:	Shri Dhiraj Wankhede, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sharad Kumar Gupta**Order On Board****02/07/2018**

1. Heard counsel for the parties.
2. Petitioner has preferred this writ petition to direct the respondents No.
3 & 4 to give the benefit of salary increment from the date of his

joining and looking to his seniority, whenever the benefit of salary increment has already been given to the person who had joined after giving joining of the petitioner.

3. Earlier petitioner was posted as Assistant Veterinary Surgeon in Veterinary Department, State of Chhattisgarh, thereafter he get appointment as Assistant Professor in Kamdhenu University, Anjora, District Durg after obtaining the NOC and resign the earlier service.
4. Counsel for the petitioner urged that he does not press for any relief except his representation which had been received by the respondent No. 3 on 06/04/2018 may be decided by the respondent No. 3 within stipulated time.
5. Looking to the facts and circumstances of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with this direction that if aforesaid representation has not been decided and still pending then the respondent No. 3 should decide it within 60 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order, according to the law and procedure. This Court does not make any comments on the merits of the case.

Sd/-

(Sharad Kumar Gupta)
Judge