

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 4290 of 2018

Dr. Chandrahas Sannat S/o Shri R S Sannat Aged About 37 Years C/o
C K Tiwari , Street No. 2 D, Dinkarpath, Zone - I, New Adarsh Nagar,
Durg District Durg Chhattisgarh.

---- **Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Agriculture And Veterinary Department Mahanadi Bhawan Mantralaya New Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Director , Agriculture And Veterinary Health Services Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Chhattisgarh Kamdhenu University, Through Registrar , Anjora Durg District Durg Chhattisgarh.
4. Dean College Of Veterinary Science, Anjora Durg District Durg Chhattisgarh.
5. Dr. R K Baghel Assistant Professor , Veterinary Paracytology Veterinary And Animal Husbandry College, Anjora Durg District Durg Chhattisgarh.
6. Dr. Savita Bisen Assistant Professor , Veterinary Paracytology Veterinary And Animal Husbandry College, Anjora Durg District Durg Chhattisgarh.
7. Dr. Meenu Kashyap Professor, Pashupalan Anjora, Durg District Durg Chhattisgarh.

---- **Respondents**

For the Petitioner : Shri T.K. Tiwari, Advocate
For the State/Res. No.1 & 2 : Shri Dhiraj Wankhede, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sharad Kumar Gupta**Order On Board****03/07/2018**

1. Heard counsel for the parties.
2. Petitioner has preferred this writ petition to direct the respondents No. 3 & 4 to give the benefit of salary increment from the date of his

joining and looking to his seniority, whatever the benefit of salary increment has already been given to the person who had joined after giving joining of the petitioner.

3. Earlier petitioner was posted as Assistant Veterinary Surgeon in Veterinary Department, State of Chhattisgarh, thereafter he got appointment as Assistant Professor in Kamdhenu University, Anjora, District Durg after obtaining the NOC and resign the earlier service.
4. Counsel for the petitioner urged that he does not press for any relief except the representation which had been received by the respondent No. 3 on 16/03/2017 may be decided by the respondent No. 3 within stipulated time.
5. Looking to the facts and circumstances of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with the direction that if aforesaid representation has not been decided and still pending then the respondent No. 3 should decide it within 60 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order, according to the law and procedure.
6. It is made clear that this Court is not expressing any opinion on the merit of the case.

Sd/-
(Sharad Kumar Gupta)
Judge