

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (C) No.3513 of 2017**

1. Sunil Kumar Son Of Heeralal Baghel Aged About 22 Years R/o Pujari Para, Block Chingarh, Ward 13, Sukma, District Sukma, Chhattisgarh.
2. Mayank Parihar, Son Of Arun Singh Parihar, Aged About 23 Years R/o Mastan Para, Ward 2, Sukma, District Sukma, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. Union Of India Through The Secretary, Ministry Of Skill Development And Entrepreneurship 2nd Floor, Annexe Building Shivaji Stadium, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Marg, Connaught Place, New Delhi, 110001
2. Director General Of Employment And Training, Ministry Of Labour, Government Of India, Shram Shakti Bhawan, Rafi Marg, New Delhi.
3. National Council For Vocational Training, Through The Chief Executive Officer, Muni Maya Ram Marg, Pitampura, Delhi, 110088
4. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department Of Technical Education And Manpower, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Post Office And Police Station Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
5. Director, Directorate Of Technical Education, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Post Office And Police Station Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
6. Director, Directorate Of Employment And Training, Indrawati Bhawan, First Floor, Block 4, Naya Raipur, Post Office And Police Station Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
7. Principal, Industrial Training Institute, Government I. T. I. Sukma, District Sukma, Chhattisgarh.

--- Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Rudra Nath Mukherjee, Advocate
 For State/Respondents : Mr. R.N. Pusty, Govt. Advocate and Mr. R.K. Gupta, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal
Order On Board

09/01/2018

(1) Heard.

(2) Learned counsel appearing for the parties would jointly submit that issue raised in this writ petition is covered by order dated 22.08.2017 passed in Writ Petition (C) No.1956 of 2017 (***Md. Ayaz & Others Vs. Union of India & Others***) and other connected matters in which, this Court has observed as under in paragraph 12:-

“12. Accordingly, all the writ petitions are allowed. Impugned notices (Annexure P-1) are hereby quashed. The respondent No.5-Director, Directorate of Technical Education is directed to examine each of the petitioners' case individually after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioners sympathetically keeping in view the future of the trainee and the fact that they have already appeared in the third semesters examinations etc. and relaxation may be given to them, if any, they are entitled as per law and thereafter, the candidates, who are found eligible, their results will be declared expeditiously. At the time of examination of the candidature of the petitioners, the representative of NCVT and the Principals of concerned Industrial Training Institute shall also present along with the Director, Directorate of Technical Education.”

(3) Accepting the submission of learned counsel for the parties, this writ petition is disposed of in similar terms of ***Md. Ayaz*** (*supra*).

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-