

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPC No. 1587 of 2018**

Baburam Baghel S/o Late Shri Banau Ram, Aged About 76 Years Caste Satnami, R/o Dekha, Tahsil And District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

--- **Petitioner**

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Public Works, Mahanadi Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Post Office And Police Station Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Mahanadi Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Post Office And Police Station Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Engineer - In - Chief, Department Of Public Works, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Post Office And Police Station Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Collector, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
5. Executive Engineer (B And R), Department Of Public Works, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
6. Sub Divisional Officer - Cum - Land Acquisition Officer, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- **Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Mr. K. K. Singh, Advocate.
For Respondents / State	:	Mr. Arun Sao, Dy. Adv. General

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

25/06/18

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that petitioner's land had been acquired and house was also demolished by the respondent authorities way back in the year 2009 but till date no compensation has been paid to the petitioner in lieu of his land.
2. Be that as it may, the petitioner is at liberty to make representation before the Collector, Bilaspur for redressal of his grievance who, in turn,

shall consider and decide the petitioner's representation strictly in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

3. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the matter.

4. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

SD/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge