

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (PIL) No. 50 of 2018**

- Dr. Ajit Anand Degwekar S/o Shri Anand Damodar Degwekar, Aged About 58 Years R/o Hanuman Mandir Gali, Opp. Spare School Ground, Budhapaara, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Its Chief Secretary, Government of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Director General Of Police, State Of Chhattisgarh, Police Headquarter, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Union Of India, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Personnel And Training, South Block, New Delhi.
4. The Election Commission Of India, Through Its Chief Commissioner, Nirvachan Sadan, Patel Chowk, New Delhi
5. Bhartiya Janta Party (Bjp), Through Its State President, Shri Dharam Lal Kaushik, R/o Village And Post Parsada, Raipur Road, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Himanshu Kumar Sharma, Advocate
For Respondent/State	:	Shri J.K. Gilda, Advocate General
For Respondent/Union of India	:	Shri B.Gopa Kumar, ASG.

Hon'ble Shri Ajay Kumar Tripathi, Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri Justice Pritinker Diwaker

Order on Board**Per, Ajay Kumar Tripathi, Chief Justice****17.07.2018**

1. Petitioner has made his point by filing present Public Interest Litigation that every elected Government has an obligation to abide by the law.
2. What has aggrieved the present Petitioner is inclusion of photographs of certain political persons in the Government programs for which advertisement has been issued by the Director of publication, which according to the Petitioner is in teeth of the decision rendered by the

Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Common Cause vs. Union of India and Ors** reported in **(2015) 7 SCC 1**.

3. Learned Advocate General has been gracious enough to accept that a folly seems to have been committed by certain subordinate authorities and that he has already made his point of view known to such authorities and assurances have been given that they will be extra careful in future whenever such advertisements are now issued, especially relating to Government programs.
4. In view of the above, nothing further is required to be done in the present writ application because the Petitioner has succeeded in reminding the State authorities of the legal obligations which they have to follow in terms of the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court.
5. Writ application stands disposed off with the above observation.

Sd/-
(Ajay Kumar Tripathi)
Chief Justice

Sd/-
(Pritinker Diwaker)
Judge