

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 1475 OF 2018**

1. Chhedilal Agrawal S/o Late Ram Gopal Agrawal, Aged About 68 Years R/o 410, Gupta Gali, Main Road, Korba, Tahsil And District Korba Chhattisgarh.

...Petitioner(s)**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Urban And Rural Administration, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Tahsil And District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. The Collector, Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh.
3. The Tahsildar, Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh.
4. M.S. Sarangpani S/o Late Subramaniam Aged About 67 Years R/o 1-B/43, Pump House Colony, Secl Korba, Tahsil And District Korba Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner	:	Shri Anand Shukla, Advocate.
For Respondent-State	:	Shri Shashank Thakur, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****27.06.2018**

1. The challenge in the present writ petition is to the order dated 04.08.2017 (Annexure P/1) whereby the demarcation report submitted by the Revenue Inspector has been taken on record.
2. The contention raised by the petitioner is that the respondent No.4 had moved an application for demarcation before the Revenue Inspector and who has without complying with the due requirement of law submitted the demarcation report and the said report has subsequently been taken on record by the Tehsildar vide impugned order dated 04.08.2017.
3. On a candid question being put to the petitioner as to which is the property situated adjacent to the property which has been

demarcated of which he is the title holder, he was unable to give satisfactory reply except for the fact that there was some oral agreement between the petitioner and the respondent No.4 by virtue of which he is in possession of some portion of the land.

4. This court finds it difficult to entertain the writ petition of the petitioner when he has not been able to show any ownership, right or title over any of the property adjacent or at least in the nearby vicinity of the land which has been demarcated by the Revenue Inspector. Moreover, this court is not inclined to entertain this petition on account of availability of statutory alternative remedy under Section 50 of the Chhattisgarh Land Revenue Code whereby remedy of revision is available to the petitioner by approaching the Collector against the impugned order.
5. This court does not find any merit in the petition. The same deserves to be and is hereby dismissed. However, dismissal of this petition would not preclude the petitioner from availing any other civil remedies that he has including any other statutory remedy.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge