

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (C) No. 1481 of 2018**

1. Sahdev Barley S/o Amir Das Barley, aged about 47 years, R/o Village Thelkadih, Mahrumkala, Via Ghumka, District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
 2. Smt. Meera Barley W/o Sahdev Barley, aged about 37 years, R/o Village Thelkadih, Mahrumkala, Via Ghumka, District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
 3. Pradeep Kumar Barley S/o Shri Sahdev Barley, aged about 28 years, R/o Village Thelkadih, Mahrumkala, Via Ghumka, District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
 4. Mangal Das Banjare S/o Shri Jailal Banjae, aged about 39 years, R/o Village Shergarh, Post – Vijaytaja, Tahsil Ghumka, District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
- Petitioners**

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department Of Home Affairs, Mahanadi Bhavan Mantralaya Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.
 2. Director General Of Police, Police Headquarter District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
 3. Collector, District Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.
 4. Arogya Dhan Varsha Developers and Allied Limited, through its Director Registered Office 14/2, Vikram Marg, Opposite S.S. Hospital, Freeganj, District Ujjain (M.P.)
- Respondents**

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Manish Upadhyay, Advocate.
For Respondents	:	Mr. R. N. Pusty, G. A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****18/05/18**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are investors and they have been cheated by respondent No.4.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the State would submit that effective remedy is available to the petitioners under the provisions of Chhattisgarh Ke Nikshepako Ke Hito Ka Sanrakshan Adhiniyam, 2005.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

4. Be that as it may, the petitioners are allowed to prefer an appeal duly constituted under the provisions of the Chhattisgarh Ke Nikshepako Ke Hito Ka Sanrakshan Adhiniyam, 2005 before the Collector, District Rajnandgaon. If such an appeal is preferred by the petitioners before the Collector, District Rajnandgaon within 15 days from today, then the said authority shall consider and do well to dispose of the petitioners' appeal expeditiously.

5. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)

Judge