

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**CONT No. 541 of 2018**

Shushma Sahu W/o Rajendra Kumar Sahu Aged About 49 Years R/o Gandhi Nagar Maitri Kunj Risali Bhilai, District Durg Chhattisgarh., District : Durg, Chhattisgarh

**---- Petitioner**

**Versus**

1. Dr. Rohit Yadav Secretary State Of Chhattisgarh, Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya New Raipur., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Niranjan Das Mission Director, National Urban Livelihood Mission (Nlum) Office Of State Urban Development Agency, (Suda), 4th Floor, Indravati Bhavan, New Raipur., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. K.L. Chauhan City Project Officer, Deendayal Antyodaya Yojna, National Urban Livelihood Mission (Nulm) Bhilai District Durg ., District : Durg, Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**

---

|                     |   |                                   |
|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| For Petitioner      | : | Shri Ashok Das Vaishnav, Advocate |
| For Respondent No.2 | : | Shri Pankaj Agrawal, Advocate     |

---

**S.B. : Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava**

**Order On Board**

**24/08/2018**

Heard.

1. This contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner alleging willful disobedience of the order passed by this Court on 14.12.2017 directing the respondents to examine in coordination, the petitioner's claim for regularization. However, when no order was passed despite lapse of eight weeks and in the meantime under a general direction issued by the Chief Executive Officer, State Urban Development Authority on 26.3.2018, services of the petitioner were not continued, contempt petition was filed.
2. Belatedly, the petitioner's claim was considered and he has not been found fit

for regularization.

3. It appears that while issuing general direction on 26.3.2018 by the Chief Executive Officer, the order of this Court was not brought to his notice.
4. Considering that belatedly, but now, the petitioner's representation has been decided, leaving it open for the petitioner to challenge the order of rejection of his representation, the contempt petition is closed and rule is discharged.

Sd/-  
**(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)**  
Judge

Praveen