

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 5272 of 2017**

1. Yogendra Kumar Janghel S/o Shri Paladuram Janghel, Aged About 33 Years, Presently Posted And Working As The Constable No. 448 CAF, 11th Battalion Janjgir, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.
2. Shailendra Kumar Pandey, S/o Late Harishankar Pandey, Aged About 37 Years, Presently Posted And Working As The Constable No. 093 CAF, 6th Battalion Raighrh, District Raigarh Champa Chhattisgarh. District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary Home Police Department Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh. , Chhattisgarh
2. Director General Of Police, Chhattisgarh, Police Head Quarters, Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Additional Director General Of Police, CAF, Police Head Quarters Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Commandant, 11th Batalion, Chhattisgarh Armed Force District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh, District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Sushil Dubey, Advocate.
For State	:	Mr. Dilman Rath Minj, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****15/02/18**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that petitioner's case for absorption on the post of Constable (Armourer) has not been considered by the respondent authorities, though the petitioner possesses the requisite qualification, against which the petitioner has also preferred representations before the respondent authorities but is pending

consideration since 09.08.2017 and has not been decided till date.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Be that as it may, the petitioner is at liberty to make additional representation before the competent authority for absorption on the post of Armourer and, in turn, the competent authority shall consider and decide the petitioner's representation expeditiously preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of representation.

4. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

SD/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge