

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****M. C. C. No. 342 of 2018**

Smt. Shakuntala Jaiswal W/o Shri Rajesh Kumar Jaiswal, aged about 44 years, Caste Jaiswal, R/o Village Bilaspur, Police Station Sarshinva, Tahsil Bilaigarh, Civil & Revenue District Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh,

Presently working as Shikshakarmi Grade-III (Assistant Teacher Panchayat) at Govt. Primary School Tata, Police Station Sarshinva, Tahsil Bilaigarh, Civil and Revenue District Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Department of Panchayat and Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Collector Balodabazar, Civil and Revenue District Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Balodabazar, Civil and Revenue District Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Bilaigarh, Civil and Revenue District Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
5. The Block Education Officer, Bilaigarh, Civil and Revenue District Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Vishwanath Shrivastava with Shri U. P. S. Sahu, Advocates
For Respondent/State	:	Shri Shashank Thakur, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****30/04/2018**

The present MCC has been filed seeking for modification in the order dated 22.03.2018 passed in WPS No. 2517 of 2018.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that due to clerical error, the address of respondents 4 & 5 has been wrongly typed in as much as respondent no.4 in stead of “The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, **Bilaigarh**” has got typed as “The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Kashdol”. Likewise, the address of respondent no.5 in stead of “The Block Education Officer, **Bilaigarh**” has got typed as “The Block Education Officer, Kashdol”. In addition, in paragraph-3 this Court has directed the petitioner to prefer a fresh representation before the respondent no.2 whereas it should have been respondent no.3. Hence, prays for correction of the same.

3. State counsel does not oppose to the said correction sought for.

4. In view of the same, the MCC is allowed. It is ordered that the address of respondents 4 & 5 mentioned in the order dated 22.03.2018 passed in WPS No. 2517/2018 shall be read as “The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, **Bilaigarh**” instead of Kashdol and “The Block Education Officer, **Bilaigarh**” instead of Kashdol respectively reflected in the cause title. Likewise, in paragraph-3 of the order dated 22.02.2018, respondent no.2 shall be read as “respondent no.3” i.e. the petitioner shall prefer a fresh representation before “**the respondent no.3**” in stead of respondent no.2.

5. Let a copy of the order of this MCC be made part of the records of WP(S) No. 2517 of 2018. Registry to take appropriate steps in this regard.

6. The MCC stands allowed.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE