

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.1003 of 2005**

Smt. Pushpa Sahu, aged about 34 years, W/o Shri Satish Kumar,
Supervisor, MPSRTC, Bus Stand, Bilaspur (CG).

--- **Petitioner****Versus**

1. State of Madhya Pradesh, through the Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of MP, Bhopal.
2. The Director, Social Welfare Department, Bhopal.
3. District Rehabilitation Officer, District Rehabilitation Centre, Bilaspur.

---- **Respondents**

For Petitioner : Mr. Vinod Deshmukh, Advocate
For State : Mr. R.N. Pusty, Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal
Order On Board

18/01/2018

(1) Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is working on the post of Multipurpose Rehabilitation Technician, Bilaspur, she is entitled for grant of benefit of revised pay scale as per circulars issued by the State Government, filed as Annexure A/2, Annexure A/3 & Annexure A/4, but the same is not being given to her against which she has made representation before respondent authorities, which has not been considered and decided till this date and, therefore direction may be issued to the respondent authorities to decide the representation expeditiously.

(2) Learned counsel appearing for the State would oppose the submission made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and submit that the petitioner is not entitled for grant of benefit of revised pay scale.

(3) I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record with utmost circumspection.

(4) Be that as it may, the petitioner is directed to make fresh representation to the respondent No.1 for redressal of her grievance within a period of four weeks from today supported by circulars Annexure A/3, Annexure A/3 & Annexure A/4. If such representation is filed by the petitioner before respondent No.1, in that event respondent No.1 shall consider and decide the representation in accordance with law after hearing the petitioner expeditiously within a further period of ten weeks from the date of filing of representation.

(5) With the aforesaid observation, the writ petitions stand finally disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-